

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/667,542	Applicant(s) DELINE ET AL.		
	Examiner Michael J. Yigdall	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 2192</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2192	
Art Unit 2192				

All Participants:

(1) Michael J. Yigdall.

(2) Jeffrey Nesiba.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 n/a

Claims discussed:
 1

Prior art documents discussed:
 n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Agreed to an examiner's amendment to remedy inconsistent terminology in claim 1.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Keith Drabek (Reg. No. 60,757).

(4) _____

Time: 4:30 PM ET

/Michael Yigdall/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)